

# BASE SUBSETS OF POLAR GRASSMANNIANS

MARK PANKOV

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Delta$  be a thick building of type  $X_n = C_n, D_n$ . Let also  $\mathcal{G}_k$  be the Grassmannian of  $k$ -dimensional singular subspaces of the associated polar space  $\Pi$  (of rank  $n$ ). We write  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  for the corresponding shadow space of type  $X_{n,k}$ . Every bijective transformation of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  which maps base subsets to base subsets (the shadows of apartments) is a collineation of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$ , and it is induced by a collineation of  $\Pi$  if  $n \neq 4$  or  $k \neq 1$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider a building of type  $X_n$  [9] as an incidence geometry  $(\mathcal{G}, *, d)$ , where  $\mathcal{G}$  is a set of subspaces,  $*$  is an incidence relation, and  $d : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  is a dimension function. Then apartments of the building are certain subgeometries of rank  $n$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{G}_k$  the Grassmannian consisting of all  $k$ -dimensional subspaces. The *shadow space*  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  is the partial linear space whose point set is  $\mathcal{G}_k$  and lines are defined by pairs of incident subspaces  $S \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{G}_{k+1}$  if  $0 < k < n-1$ ; in the case when  $k = 0, n-1$ , lines are defined by elements of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{n-2}$ , respectively. The intersection of an apartment with  $\mathcal{G}_k$  is known as the *shadow* of this apartment.

Every apartment preserving (in both directions) bijective transformation of the chamber set (the set of maximal flags of our geometry) can be extended to a bijective transformation of  $\mathcal{G}$  preserving the incidence relation [1].

**Conjecture.** Every bijective transformation of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  sending the shadows of apartments to the shadows of apartments is a collineation of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$ .

This conjecture holds for the shadow spaces of type  $A_{n,k}$  [6] and all shadow spaces of symplectic buildings [7]. In the present paper we show that this is true for all buildings of types  $C_n$  and  $D_n$  (the buildings associated with polar spaces).

Suppose that our building is of type  $C_n$  and  $D_n$ . Let  $\Pi$  be the associated polar space (of rank  $n$ ). We say that  $\Pi$  is of type C or D if the correspondent possibility is realized:

- (C) every  $(n-2)$ -dimensional (second maximal) singular subspace is contained in at least 3 distinct maximal singular subspaces,
- (D) every  $(n-2)$ -dimensional singular subspace is contained in precisely 2 maximal singular subspaces.

A subset of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  is said to be *inexact* if it is contained in the shadows of two distinct apartments. In the case (C), there are precisely two possibilities for a maximal inexact subset; as in [7], we use maximal inexact subsets of first and second types

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 51M35, 14M15.

*Key words and phrases.* building, polar space, polar Grassmannian, base subset.

to characterize the collinearity relation of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  for  $k \leq n - 2$  and  $k = n - 1$ , respectively. In the case (D), the first possibility does not realize and we characterize the collinearity relation in terms of maximal inexact subsets of second type.

## 2. POLAR GEOMETRY

**2.1. Partial linear spaces.** Let us consider a pair  $\Pi = (P, \mathcal{L})$ , where  $P$  is a non-empty set and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a family of proper subsets of  $P$ . Elements of  $P$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  will be called *points* and *lines*, respectively. Two or more points are said to be *collinear* if there is a line containing them. We suppose that  $\Pi$  is a *partial linear space*: each line contains at least two points, for each point there is a line containing it, and for any two distinct collinear points  $p, q \in P$  there is precisely one line containing them, this line will be denoted by  $pq$ . We say that  $S \subset P$  is a *subspace* of  $\Pi$  if for any two distinct collinear points  $p, q \in S$  the line  $pq$  is contained in  $S$ . By this definition, any set consisting of non-collinear points is a subspace. A subspace is said to be *singular* if any two points of the subspace are collinear (the empty set and one-point subspaces are singular).

A bijective transformation of  $P$  is a *collineation* of  $\Pi$  if  $\mathcal{L}$  is preserved in both directions.

**2.2. Polar spaces.** A *polar space of rank  $n$*  can be defined as a partial linear space  $\Pi = (P, \mathcal{L})$  satisfying the following axioms:

- (1) if  $p \in P$  and  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  then  $p$  is collinear with one or all points of  $L$ ,
- (2) there is no point collinear with all others,
- (3) every maximal flag of singular subspaces consists of  $n$  elements.

It follows from the equivalent axiom system [9] that every singular subspace is contained in a maximal singular subspace and the restrictions of  $\Pi$  to all maximal singular subspaces are  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional projective spaces (throughout the paper the dimension is always assumed to be projective). The complete list of polar spaces of rank greater than 2 can be found in [9].

The collinearity relation will be denoted by  $\perp$ : we write  $p \perp q$  if  $p$  and  $q$  are collinear points, and  $p \not\perp q$  otherwise. More general, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are subsets of  $P$  then  $X \perp Y$  means that every point of  $X$  is collinear with all points of  $Y$ . The minimal subspace containing  $X$  is called *spanned* by  $X$  and denoted by  $\overline{X}$ ; in the case when  $X \perp X$ , it is singular. For a subset  $X \subset P$  we denote by  $X^\perp$  the set of points collinear with each point of  $X$ ; it follows from the axiom (1) that  $X^\perp$  is a subspace. If  $p \perp q$  then

$$\{p, q\}^{\perp\perp} = p q^{\perp\perp} = p q$$

and we get the following.

**Fact 1.** *Every bijective transformation of  $P$  preserving the collinearity relation (in both directions) is a collineation of  $\Pi$ .*

**Remark 1.** If  $\Pi$  is defined by a sesquilinear or quadratic form then every collineation of  $\Pi$  can be extended to a collineation of the corresponding projective space [2, 4], see also [5].

**2.3. Grassmann spaces.** Let  $\Pi = (P, \mathcal{L})$  be a polar space of rank  $n \geq 3$ . For each  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  we denote by  $\mathcal{G}_k$  the *Grassmannian* consisting of all  $k$ -dimensional singular subspaces. Thus  $\mathcal{G}_0 = P$  and  $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{L}$ .

We say that a subset of  $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$  is a *line* if it consists of all maximal singular subspaces containing a certain  $(n-2)$ -dimensional singular subspace. In the case when  $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ , a subset of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  is a *line* if there exist incident  $S \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}$  and  $U \in \mathcal{G}_{k+1}$  such that it is the set of all  $k$ -dimensional singular subspaces incident with both  $S$  and  $U$ . The family of all lines in  $\mathcal{G}_k$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_k$ . The pair

$$\mathfrak{G}_k := (\mathcal{G}_k, \mathcal{L}_k)$$

is a partial linear space for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . In what follows we will suppose that  $\mathfrak{G}_0 = \Pi$ .

Two distinct maximal singular subspaces are collinear points of  $\mathfrak{G}_{n-1}$  if and only if their intersection belongs to  $\mathcal{G}_{n-2}$ . In the case when  $k \leq n-2$ , two distinct  $k$ -dimensional singular subspaces  $S$  and  $U$  are collinear points of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  if and only if  $S \perp U$  and the subspace spanned by them belongs to  $\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$ . We say that two elements of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  ( $k \leq n-2$ ) are *weak-adjacent* if their intersection is an element of  $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}$ ; it is trivial that any two distinct collinear points of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  are weak-adjacent, the converse fails.

Every collineation of  $\Pi$  induces a collineation of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$ . Conversely,

**Fact 2.** *Every bijective transformation of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ) preserving the collinearity relation (in both directions) is a collineation of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$ ; moreover, it is induced by a collineation of  $\Pi$  if  $n \neq 4$  or  $k \neq 1$ . Every bijective transformation of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ ) preserving the weak-adjacency relation (in both directions) is the collineation of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  induced by a collineation of  $\Pi$ .*

**Remark 2.** It was proved in [2, 4] for  $k = n-1$  (see also [5]), and we refer [8] for the general case.

Now suppose that  $n \geq 4$  and the case (D) is realized. Then  $\Pi$  is the polar space of a non-degenerate quadratic form  $q$  defined on a certain  $2n$ -dimensional vector space (if the characteristic of the field is not equal to 2 then the associated bilinear form is symmetric and non-degenerate, and the corresponding polar space coincides with  $\Pi$ ). In this case, each line of  $\mathfrak{G}_{n-1}$  consists of precisely 2 points. Let  $\mathcal{O}_+$  and  $\mathcal{O}_-$  be the orbits of the action of the orthogonal group  $O^+(q)$  on  $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$ . Then

$$n - \dim(S \cap U) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{odd} & \text{if } S, U \in \mathcal{O}_\delta, \delta = +, - \\ \text{even} & \text{if } S \in \mathcal{O}_+, U \in \mathcal{O}_-. \end{cases}$$

A subset of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  is called a *line* if it consists of all elements of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  containing a certain  $(n-3)$ -dimensional singular subspace. We denote by  $\mathcal{L}_\delta$  the family of all lines and get the partial linear space

$$\mathfrak{D}_\delta = (\mathcal{O}_\delta, \mathcal{L}_\delta).$$

Two distinct elements of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  are collinear points of  $\mathfrak{D}_\delta$  if and only if their intersection is an element of  $\mathcal{G}_{n-3}$ . Every collineation of  $\Pi$  induces collineations of  $\mathfrak{D}_+$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_-$ .

**Fact 3.** [2, 4] *Every bijective transformation of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  ( $\delta = +, -$ ) preserving the collinearity relation (in both directions) is a collineation of  $\mathfrak{D}_\delta$  induced by a collineation of  $\Pi$ .*

**Remark 3.** Singular subspaces of the partial linear spaces considered above are well known, some information concerning non-singular subspaces can be found in [3].

**2.4. Base subsets.** We say that  $B = \{p_1, \dots, p_{2n}\}$  is a *base* of  $\Pi$  if for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$  there exists unique  $\sigma(i) \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$  such that

$$p_i \not\subset p_{\sigma(i)}.$$

In this case, the set of all  $k$ -dimensional singular subspaces spanned by points of  $B$  is said to be the *base subset* of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  associated with (defined by)  $B$ ; it coincides with  $B$  if  $k = 0$ .

Every base subset of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  consists of precisely

$$2^{k+1} \binom{n}{k+1}$$

elements (Proposition 1 in [7]).

**Proposition 1.** *For any two  $k$ -dimensional singular subspaces of  $\Pi$  there is a base subset of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  containing them.*

*Proof.* Easy verification.  $\square$

We define *base subsets* of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  as the intersections of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  with base subsets of  $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$ . Every base subset of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  consists of  $2^{n-1}$  elements and it follows from Proposition 1 that for any two elements of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  there is a base subset of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  containing them.

**2.5. Buildings associated with polar spaces.** In the case (C), the incidence geometry of singular subspaces is a thick building of type  $C_n$ , where each apartment is the subgeometry consisting of all singular subspaces spanned by points of a certain base of  $\Pi$ ; the shadow spaces are  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ), and the shadows of apartments are base subsets.

Suppose that  $n \geq 4$  and  $\Pi$  is of type D. The *oriflamme* incidence geometry consists of all singular subspaces of dimension distinct from  $n-2$ ; two singular subspaces  $S$  and  $U$  are incident if  $S \subset U$ , or  $U \subset S$ , or the dimension of  $S \cap U$  is equal to  $n-2$ . This is a thick building of type  $D_n$ ; as above, apartments are the subgeometries defined by bases of  $\Pi$ . The shadow spaces are  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n-3$ ) and  $\mathfrak{D}_\delta$  ( $\delta = +, -$ ), the shadows of apartments are base subsets.

### 3. RESULTS

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f$  be a bijective transformation of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ) which maps base subsets to base subsets<sup>1</sup>. Then  $f$  is a collineation of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$ . If  $k \geq 1$  and  $\Pi$  is of type C then this collineation is induced by a collineation of  $\Pi$ . In the case when  $k \geq 1$  and  $\Pi$  is of type D, it is induced by a collineation of  $\Pi$  if  $n \neq 4$  or  $k \neq 1$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *If  $n \geq 4$  and  $\Pi$  is of type D then every bijective transformation of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  ( $\delta = +, -$ ) which maps base subsets to base subsets<sup>2</sup> is the colleniation of  $\mathfrak{D}_\delta$  induced by a collineation of  $\Pi$ .*

<sup>1</sup>We do not require that  $f$  preserves the class of base subsets in both directions.

<sup>2</sup>As in Theorem 1, we do not require that our transformation preserves the class of base subsets in both directions.

## 4. INEXACT AND COMPLEMENT SUBSETS

**4.1. Inexact subsets.** Let  $B = \{p_1, \dots, p_{2n}\}$  be a base of  $\Pi$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be the associated base subset of  $\mathcal{G}_k$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{B}$  consists of all  $k$ -dimensional singular subspaces spanned by  $p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_{k+1}}$ , where

$$\{i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}\} \cap \{\sigma(i_1), \dots, \sigma(i_{k+1})\} = \emptyset.$$

If  $k = n - 1$  then every element of  $\mathcal{B}$  contains precisely one of the points  $p_i$  or  $p_{\sigma(i)}$  for each  $i$ .

We write  $\mathcal{B}(+i)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(-i)$  for the sets of all elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  which contain  $p_i$  or do not contain  $p_i$ , respectively. For any  $i_1, \dots, i_s$  and  $j_1, \dots, j_u$  belonging to  $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$  we define

$$\mathcal{B}(+i_1, \dots, +i_s, -j_1, \dots, -j_u) := \mathcal{B}(+i_1) \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{B}(+i_s) \cap \mathcal{B}(-j_1) \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{B}(-j_u).$$

It is trivial that

$$\mathcal{B}(+i) = \mathcal{B}(+i, -\sigma(i))$$

and in the case when  $k = n - 1$  we have

$$\mathcal{B}(+i) = \mathcal{B}(-\sigma(i)).$$

Let  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{B}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{R}$  is *exact* if there is only one base subset of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  containing  $\mathcal{R}$ ; otherwise,  $\mathcal{R}$  will be called *inexact*. If  $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{B}(+i)$  is not empty then we define  $S_i(\mathcal{R})$  as the intersection of all subspaces belonging to  $\mathcal{R}$  and containing  $p_i$ , and we define  $S_i(\mathcal{R}) := \emptyset$  if the intersection of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(+i)$  is empty. If

$$S_i(\mathcal{R}) = p_i$$

for all  $i$  then  $\mathcal{R}$  is exact; the converse fails.

**Proposition 2.** *If  $k = n - 1$  then  $\mathcal{B}(-i)$  is inexact, but this inexact subset is not maximal. In the case when  $k \in \{0, \dots, n - 2\}$ , the following assertions are fulfilled:*

- *if  $\Pi$  is of type C then  $\mathcal{B}(-i)$  is a maximal inexact subset,*
- *$\mathcal{B}(-i)$  is exact if  $\Pi$  is of type D.*

*Proof.* If  $k = n - 1$  then for each  $U \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}(-i)$  the subset

$$\mathcal{B}(-i) \cup \{U\}$$

is inexact (see proof of Proposition 3 in [7] for details); this means that  $\mathcal{B}(-i)$  is a non-maximal inexact subset.

Let  $k \leq n - 2$ . Then

$$S_j(\mathcal{B}(-i)) = p_j \quad \text{for } j \neq i$$

(proof of Proposition 3 in [7]). Therefore, if  $\mathcal{B}(-i)$  is contained in the base subset of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  associated with a base  $B'$  of  $\Pi$  then

$$(1) \quad B' = (B \setminus \{p_i\}) \cup \{p\}.$$

It is clear that  $p$  is collinear with all points of  $B \setminus \{p_i, p_{\sigma(i)}\}$  and non-collinear with  $p_{\sigma(i)}$ . If  $p \neq p_i$  then  $p \not\perp p_i$  and each  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional singular subspace spanned by points of  $B \setminus \{p_i, p_{\sigma(i)}\}$  is contained in 3 distinct maximal singular subspaces which contradicts (D).

Suppose that  $\Pi$  is of type C. Let  $S$  and  $U$  be non-intersecting  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional singular subspaces spanned by points of  $B \setminus \{p_i, p_{\sigma(i)}\}$ . By (C), there exists a point

$p \in P$  non-collinear with  $p_i, p_{\sigma(i)}$  and collinear with all points of  $S$ . Since any two flags are contained in a certain apartment, there is a point  $q \in P$  such that

$$(B \setminus \{p_i, p_{\sigma(i)}\}) \cup \{p, q\}$$

is a base of  $\Pi$  whose points span  $U$  and  $\overline{S \cup \{p\}}$ . This means that  $p$  is collinear with all points of  $B \setminus \{p_i, p_{\sigma(i)}\}$ . The base subset of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  associated with the base (1) contains  $\mathcal{B}(-i)$ . So it is an inexact subset. As in [7] (proof Proposition 3), we show that this inexact subset is maximal.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *If  $j \neq i, \sigma(i)$  then*

$$\mathcal{R}_{ij} := \mathcal{B}(+i, +j) \cup \mathcal{B}(+\sigma(i), +\sigma(j)) \cup \mathcal{B}(-i, -\sigma(j))$$

*is inexact; moreover, it is a maximal inexact subset except the case when  $k = 0$  and  $\Pi$  is of type C.*

*Proof.* As in [7] (proof of Proposition 4), we establish that  $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$  is a maximal inexact subset if  $k \geq 1$ . If  $k = 0$  then

$$\mathcal{R}_{ij} = B \setminus \{p_i, p_{\sigma(j)}\}.$$

We choose a point  $p'_i$  on the line  $p_i p_j$  distinct from  $p_i$  and  $p_j$ , the line  $p_{\sigma(j)} p_{\sigma(i)}$  contains the unique point  $p'_{\sigma(j)}$  collinear with  $p'_i$ . Then

$$(B \setminus \{p_i, p_{\sigma(j)}\}) \cup \{p'_i, p'_{\sigma(j)}\}$$

is a base of  $\Pi$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$  is inexact. If  $\Pi$  is of type C then  $\mathcal{B}(-i) = B \setminus \{p_i\}$  is an inexact subset containing  $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$ , hence the inexact subset  $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$  is not maximal.  $\square$

A direct verification shows that

$$\mathcal{R}_{ij} = \mathcal{B}(+i, +j) \cup \mathcal{B}(-i)$$

if  $k = n - 1$ .

As in [7], the inexact subsets considered in Propositions 2 and 3 will be called of *first* and *second* type, respectively.

**Proposition 4.** *Every maximal inexact subset is of first or second type. In particular, each maximal inexact subset is of second type if  $k = n - 1$  or  $\Pi$  is of type D, and each maximal inexact subset is of first type if  $k = 0$  and  $\Pi$  is of type C.*

*Proof.* The case  $k = 0$  is trivial. Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  be a maximal inexact subset of  $\mathcal{B}$ . First, we consider the case when all  $S_i(\mathcal{R})$  are non-empty. Denote by  $I$  the set of all  $i$  such that the dimension of  $S_i(\mathcal{R})$  is non-zero. Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is inexact,  $I$  is non-empty. Suppose that for certain  $l \in I$  the subspace  $S_l(\mathcal{R})$  is spanned by  $p_l, p_{j_1}, \dots, p_{j_u}$  and

$$M_1 := S_{\sigma(j_1)}(\mathcal{R}), \dots, M_u := S_{\sigma(j_u)}(\mathcal{R})$$

do not contain  $p_{\sigma(l)}$ . Then  $p_l$  belongs to  $M_1^\perp, \dots, M_u^\perp$ ; on the other hand,

$$p_{j_1} \notin M_1^\perp, \dots, p_{j_u} \notin M_u^\perp$$

and we have

$$M_1^\perp \cap \dots \cap M_u^\perp \cap S_l(\mathcal{R}) = p_l.$$

If this holds for all  $l \in I$  then our subset is exact. Therefore, there exist  $i \in I$  and  $j \neq i, \sigma(i)$  such that

$$p_j \in S_i(\mathcal{R}) \text{ and } p_{\sigma(i)} \in S_{\sigma(j)}(\mathcal{R}).$$

Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is contained  $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$ . We have  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{ij}$ , since the inexact subset  $\mathcal{R}$  is maximal.

Suppose that  $S_i(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset$  for certain  $i$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{B}(-i)$  and we get a maximal inexact subset of first type if  $\Pi$  is of type C. Otherwise, one of the following possibilities is realized:

- (1)  $S_j(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset$  for certain  $j \neq i, \sigma(i)$ . Then  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{B}(-j, -i)$  is a proper subset of  $\mathcal{R}_{j\sigma(i)}$ .
- (2) All  $S_l(\mathcal{R})$ ,  $l \neq i, \sigma(i)$  are non-empty and there exists  $j \neq i, \sigma(i)$  such that  $S_j(\mathcal{R})$  contains  $p_{\sigma(i)}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{B}(+j, +\sigma(i)) \cup \mathcal{B}(-j, -i);$$

and as in the previous case,  $\mathcal{R}$  is a proper subset of  $\mathcal{R}_{j\sigma(i)}$ .

- (3) Each  $S_j(\mathcal{R})$ ,  $j \neq i, \sigma(i)$  is non-empty and does not contain  $p_{\sigma(i)}$ . As in the case when  $S_j(\mathcal{R}) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$ , we show that  $\mathcal{R}$  is contained in certain  $\mathcal{R}_{lm}$  with  $l, m \neq i, \sigma(i)$ . It is clear that

$$\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{B}(-i) \cap \mathcal{R}_{lm}$$

is a proper subset of  $\mathcal{R}_{lm}$ .

In each of the cases considered above,  $\mathcal{R}$  is a proper subset of a maximal inexact subset; this contradicts the fact that our inexact subset is maximal.  $\square$

**4.2. Complement subsets.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be as in the previous subsection. We say that  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{B}$  is a *complement subset* if  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{R}$  is a maximal inexact subset. A complement subset is said to be of *first* or *second* type if the corresponding maximal inexact subset is of first or second type, respectively. The complement subsets for the maximal inexact subsets from Propositions 2 and 3 are

$$\mathcal{B}(+i) \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_{ij} := \mathcal{B}(+i, -j) \cup \mathcal{B}(+\sigma(j), -\sigma(i)).$$

If  $k = n - 1$  then the second subset coincides with

$$\mathcal{B}(+i, +\sigma(j)) = \mathcal{B}(+i, +\sigma(j), -j, -\sigma(i)).$$

In the case when  $k \geq 1$ , each complement subset is of second type if  $k = n - 1$  or  $\Pi$  is of type D. If  $k = 0$  and  $\Pi$  is of type D then all complement subsets are of second type (pairs of collinear points). The case when  $k = 0$  and  $\Pi$  is of type C is trivial: each complement subset consists of a single point.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that  $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$  and  $\Pi$  is of type C. Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a complement subset of  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $\mathcal{R}$  is of first type then there are precisely  $4n - 3$  distinct complement subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$  which do not intersect  $\mathcal{R}$ . If  $\mathcal{R}$  is of second type then there are precisely 4 distinct complement subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$  which do not intersect  $\mathcal{R}$ .*

*Proof.* See proof of Lemma 5 in [7].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that  $k = n - 1$ . Then  $S, U \in \mathcal{B}$  are collinear points of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  if and only if there are precisely*

$$\binom{n-1}{2}$$

*distinct complement subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$  containing  $S$  and  $U$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\dim(S \cap U) = m$ . The complement subset  $\mathcal{B}(+i, +j)$  contains  $S$  and  $U$  if and only if the line  $p_i p_j$  is contained  $S \cap U$ . Thus there are

$$\binom{m+1}{2}$$

distinct complement subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$  containing  $S$  and  $U$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$  and  $\Pi$  be of type C. If  $\mathcal{R}$  is the intersection of  $2n - k - 2$  distinct complement subsets of first type of  $\mathcal{B}$  then one of the following possibilities is realized:*

- $\mathcal{R}$  consists of  $k + 2$  mutually collinear points of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$ ,
- $\mathcal{R}$  consists of 2 weak-adjacent elements of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  which are non-collinear.

*Proof.* Direct verification.  $\square$

Let  $c(S, U)$  be the number of complement subsets of *second* type of  $\mathcal{B}$  containing both  $S, U \in \mathcal{B}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose that  $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$ . Let  $S$  and  $U$  be distinct elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\dim(S \cap U) = m$ . Then*

$$c(S, U) \leq (m + 1)(2n - 2k + m - 2) + (k - m)^2;$$

*moreover, if  $k = n - 2$  then*

$$c(S, U) \leq (m + 1)(m + 2) + 1.$$

*If  $S$  and  $U$  are collinear points of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  then*

$$c(S, U) = k(2n - k - 3) + 1.$$

*In the case when  $S$  and  $U$  are weak adjacent and non-collinear, we have*

$$c(S, U) = k(2n - k - 3).$$

*Proof.* If the complement subset  $\mathcal{C}_{ij}$  contains  $S$  and  $U$  then one of the following possibilities is realized:

- (A) at least one of the subsets  $\mathcal{B}(+i, -j)$  or  $\mathcal{B}(+\sigma(j), -\sigma(i))$  contains both  $S, U$ ;
- (B) each of the subsets  $\mathcal{B}(+i, -j)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(+\sigma(j), -\sigma(i))$  contains precisely one of our subspaces.

The case (A). If  $S$  and  $U$  both are contained in  $\mathcal{B}(+i, -j)$  then

$$p_i \in S \cap U \text{ and } p_j \notin S \cup U$$

Since  $S \cup U$  contains precisely  $2k - m + 1$  points of  $B$  and  $j \neq \sigma(i)$ , we have  $2n - 2k + m - 2$  distinct possibilities for  $j$  if  $i$  is fixed. Thus there are precisely

$$(m + 1)(2n - 2k + m - 2)$$

complement subsets satisfying (A), it must be taken into account that  $\mathcal{C}_{ij}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)}$ .

The case (B). If

$$S \in \mathcal{B}(+i, -j) \setminus \mathcal{B}(+\sigma(j), -\sigma(i)) \text{ and } U \in \mathcal{B}(+\sigma(j), -\sigma(i)) \setminus \mathcal{B}(+i, -j)$$

then

$$p_i \in S \setminus U \text{ and } p_{\sigma(j)} \in U \setminus S$$

(since  $p_{\sigma(j)} \in U$ , we have  $p_j \notin U$ ; then  $U \notin \mathcal{B}(+i, -j)$  guarantees that  $p_i \notin U$ ; similarly, we show that  $p_{\sigma(j)} \notin S$ ). Hence there are at most

$$(k - m)^2$$

distinct complement subsets satisfying (B) (as above, we take into account that  $\mathcal{C}_{ij}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)}$ ). Note that

$$p_i \perp U \text{ and } p_{\sigma(j)} \perp S$$



(since  $p_{\sigma(i)} \notin U$  and  $p_j \notin S$ ). In the case when  $k = n - 2$ , there are at most one point of

$$B \cap (S \setminus U)$$

collinear with all points of  $U$  and at most one point of

$$B \cap (U \setminus S)$$

collinear with all points of  $S$ ; hence there is at most one complement subset satisfying (B).

Now suppose that  $m = k - 1$ . It was shown above that there are precisely  $k(2n - k - 3)$  complement subsets of kind (A). Let

$$B \cap (S \setminus U) = \{p_u\} \text{ and } B \cap (U \setminus S) = \{p_v\}.$$

If  $S$  and  $U$  are collinear points of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  then  $v \neq \sigma(u)$  and the complement subset  $\mathcal{C}_{u\sigma(v)} = \mathcal{C}_{v\sigma(u)}$  contains  $S$  and  $U$ . Otherwise, we have  $v = \sigma(u)$  and there are no complement subsets of kind (B).  $\square$

Let us define

$$m_c := \max \{ c(S, U) : S, U \in \mathcal{B}, S \neq U \}.$$

**Lemma 5.** *Distinct  $S, U \in \mathcal{B}$  are collinear points of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  if and only if  $c(S, U) = m_c$ .*

*Proof.* Since

$$g(x) := (x + 1)(2n - 2k + x - 2) + (k - x)^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

is a parabola and the coefficient of  $x^2$  is positive,

$$\max \{ g(-1), g(0), \dots, g(k - 1) \} = \max \{ g(-1), g(k - 1) \}.$$

Suppose that  $k \leq n - 3$ . Then

$$g(-1) < g(k - 1)$$

( $g(k - 1) = k(2n - k - 2) + 1 \geq k(k + 3) + 1 > (k + 1)^2 = g(-1)$ ) and Lemma 4 guarantees that  $c(S, U) = m_c$  if and only if  $S$  and  $U$  are collinear points of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$ . If  $k = n - 2$  then

$$k(2n - k - 3) + 1 = k(k + 1) + 1 > (m + 1)(m + 2) + 1 \text{ for } m \leq k - 2$$

and Lemma 4 gives the claim.  $\square$

**4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $f$  be a bijective transformation of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  sending base subsets to base subsets.

First we consider the case when  $k > 0$ . Let  $S, U \in \mathcal{G}_k$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a base subset of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  containing  $S$  and  $U$ . It is clear that  $f$  transfers an inexact subset of  $\mathcal{B}$  to inexact subset of  $f(\mathcal{B})$ . Since the base subsets  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $f(\mathcal{B})$  have the same number of inexact subsets, every inexact subset of  $f(\mathcal{B})$  is the  $f$ -image of a certain inexact subset of  $\mathcal{B}$ . This implies that an inexact subset of  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal if and only if its  $f$ -image is a maximal inexact subset of  $f(\mathcal{B})$ . Thus  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  map complement subsets to complement subsets. Lemma 1 guarantees that the type of a complement subset is preserved<sup>3</sup>. Using the characterizations of the collinearity relation in terms of complement subsets of second type (Lemmas 2 and 5), we establish that  $S$  and  $U$  are collinear points of  $\mathfrak{G}_k$  if and only if the same holds for their  $f$ -images. In the

<sup>3</sup>This is trivial if  $k = n - 1$ , or  $\Pi$  is of type D, or complement subsets of distinct types have distinct cardinalities; however, for some pairs  $n, k$  the complement subsets of first and second types have the same number of elements.

case (C),  $S$  and  $U$  are weak adjacent if and only if  $f(S)$  and  $f(U)$  are weak adjacent (this is a consequence of Lemma 3). The required statement follows from Fact 2.

Let  $k = 0$  and  $B = \{p_1, \dots, p_{2n}\}$  be a base of  $\Pi$ . Since for any two points of  $\Pi$  there is a base of  $\Pi$  containing them, we need to establish that two points of  $B$  are collinear if and only if their  $f$ -images are collinear. As above, we show that  $X \subset B$  is a complement subset if and only if  $f(X)$  is a complement subset of the base  $f(B)$ . In the case (D), each complement subset is a pair of collinear points and we get the claim.

Consider the case (C). For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$  there exists a point  $p$  such that

$$(B \setminus \{p_i\}) \cup \{p\} \text{ and } (B \setminus \{p_{\sigma(i)}\}) \cup \{p\}$$

are bases of  $\Pi$  (see proof of Proposition 2). Then

$$(f(B) \setminus \{f(p_i)\}) \cup \{f(p)\} \text{ and } (f(B) \setminus \{f(p_{\sigma(i)})\}) \cup \{f(p)\}$$

are bases of  $\Pi$ . Since  $f(B)$  is a base of  $\Pi$ , there are unique  $u, v \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$  such that

$$f(p_i) \not\prec f(p_u) \text{ and } f(p_{\sigma(i)}) \not\prec f(p_v).$$

It is clear that  $f(p)$  is non-collinear with  $f(p_u)$  and  $f(p_v)$ , and there is no base of  $\Pi$  containing  $f(p), f(p_u), f(p_v)$ . This is possible only in the case when  $u = \sigma(i), v = i$ . Thus  $f(p_i) \not\prec f(p_{\sigma(i)})$  and  $f(p_i)$  is collinear with  $f(p_j)$  if  $j \neq \sigma(i)$ .

**4.4. Inexact and complement subsets of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$ .** In this subsection we require that  $n \geq 4$  and  $\Pi$  is of type D. Let  $B = \{p_1, \dots, p_{2n}\}$  be a base of  $\Pi$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be the associated base subset of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  ( $\delta = +, -$ ). Every element of  $\mathcal{B}$  contains precisely one of the points  $p_i$  or  $p_{\sigma(i)}$  for each  $i$ .

As in subsection 4.1, we write  $\mathcal{B}(+i)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(-i)$  for the sets of all elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  which contain  $p_i$  or do not contain  $p_i$ , respectively; for any  $i_1, \dots, i_s$  and  $j_1, \dots, j_u$  belonging to  $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$  we define

$$\mathcal{B}(+i_1, \dots, +i_s, -j_1, \dots, -j_u) := \mathcal{B}(+i_1) \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{B}(+i_s) \cap \mathcal{B}(-j_1) \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{B}(-j_u).$$

It is clear that

$$\mathcal{B}(-i) = \mathcal{B}(-i, +\sigma(i)) = \mathcal{B}(+\sigma(i)).$$

Let  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{B}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{R}$  is *exact* if there is only one base subset of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  containing  $\mathcal{R}$ ; otherwise,  $\mathcal{R}$  will be called *inexact*. If  $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{B}(+i)$  is not empty then we define  $S_i(\mathcal{R})$  as the intersection of all subspaces belonging to  $\mathcal{R}$  and containing  $p_i$ , and we define  $S_i(\mathcal{R}) := \emptyset$  if the intersection of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(+i)$  is empty. If

$$S_i(\mathcal{R}) = p_i$$

for all  $i$  then  $\mathcal{R}$  is exact; the converse fails.

**Proposition 5.** *If  $j \neq i, \sigma(i)$  then*

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{B}(-i) \cup \mathcal{B}(+i, +j)$$

*is an inexact subset.*

*Proof.* It is clear that

$$p_j \in S_i(\mathcal{B}(-i) \cup \mathcal{B}(+i, +j)).$$

Suppose that  $S$  belongs to (2) and contains  $p_{\sigma(j)}$ . Then  $S$  does not contain  $p_j$ , hence  $p_i \notin S$ . The latter means that  $p_{\sigma(i)}$  belongs to  $S$ . Therefore,

$$p_{\sigma(i)} \in S_{\sigma(j)}(\mathcal{B}(-i) \cup \mathcal{B}(+i, +j)).$$

We choose a point  $p'_i$  on the line  $p_i p_j$  distinct from  $p_i$  and  $p_j$ . There is the unique point  $p'_{\sigma(j)} \in p_{\sigma(i)} p_{\sigma(j)}$  collinear with  $p'_i$ . Then

$$(B \setminus \{p_i, p_{\sigma(j)}\}) \cup \{p'_i, p'_{\sigma(j)}\}$$

is a base of  $\Pi$  and the associated base subset of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  contains (2).  $\square$

**Proposition 6.** *If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a maximal inexact subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$  then*

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{B}(-i) \cup \mathcal{B}(+i, +j)$$

for some  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $j \neq i, \sigma(i)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is inexact, we have  $S_i(\mathcal{R}) \neq p_i$  for certain  $i$ . If  $S_i(\mathcal{R})$  is empty then  $\mathcal{R}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{B}(-i)$  which contradicts the fact that the inexact subset  $\mathcal{R}$  is maximal. Thus there exists  $p_j \in S_i(\mathcal{R})$  such that  $j \neq i$ . Then

$$\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{B}(-i) \cup \mathcal{B}(+i, +j).$$

The inexact subset  $\mathcal{R}$  is maximal and the inverse inclusion holds.  $\square$

We say that  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{B}$  is a *complement subset* if  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{R}$  is a maximal inexact subset. In this case,

$$\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{B}(-i) \cup \mathcal{B}(+i, +j)$$

(Proposition 6) and

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{B}(+i, +\sigma(j)).$$

**Lemma 6.**  *$S, U \in \mathcal{B}$  are collinear points of  $\mathfrak{D}_\delta$  if and only if there are precisely*

$$\binom{n-2}{2}$$

*distinct complement subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$  containing  $S$  and  $U$ .*

*Proof.* See the proof of Lemma 2.  $\square$

Let  $f$  be a bijective transformation of  $\mathcal{O}_\delta$  which maps base subsets to base subsets. Consider  $S, U \in \mathcal{O}_\delta$  and a base subset  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{O}_\delta$  containing them. As in the previous subsection, we show that  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{B}$  is a complement subset if and only if  $f(\mathcal{R})$  is a complement subset  $f(\mathcal{B})$ . Lemma 6 guarantees that  $S$  and  $U$  are collinear points of  $\mathfrak{D}_\delta$  if and only if the same holds for their  $f$ -images, and Fact 3 gives the claim.

## 5. FINAL REMARK

Using the arguments of [7] (Section 8) and Lemmas 1, 2, 3 we can establish the following analogue of the main result of [7]. Let  $\Pi$  and  $\Pi'$  be polar spaces of same rank  $n \geq 3$ . We suppose that they both are of type C and denote by  $\mathcal{G}_k$  and  $\mathcal{G}'_k$  the Grassmannians consisting of all  $k$ -dimensional singular subspaces of  $\Pi$  and  $\Pi'$ , respectively. Then every mapping of  $\mathcal{G}_k$  to  $\mathcal{G}'_k$  which sends base subsets to base subsets is induced by an embedding of  $\Pi$  to  $\Pi'$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Abramenko P., Van Maldeghem H., *On opposition in spherical buildings and twin buildings*, Ann. Combinatorics 4(2000), 125-137.
- [2] Chow W. L., *On the geometry of algebraic homogeneous spaces*, Ann. of Math. 50 (1949) 32-67.
- [3] Cooperstein B. N., Kasikova A., Shult E.E., *Witt-type Theorems for Grassmannians and Lie Incidence Geometries*, Adv. Geom. 5 (2005), 15-36.
- [4] Dieudonne J. *Algebraic homogeneous spaces over field of characteristic two*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2(1951), 295-304.
- [5] Dieudonne J. *La Géométrie des Groupes Classiques*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [6] Pankov M., *A characterization of geometrical mappings of Grassmann spaces*, Results Math. 45(2004), 319-327.
- [7] Pankov M., *Base subsets of symplectic Grassmannians*, accepted to Journal of Alg. Combin.
- [8] Pankov M., Prażmowski K., Żyńel M., *Geometry of polar Grassmann spaces*, Demonstratio Math., 39(2006), 625-637.
- [9] Tits J., *Buildings of spherical type and finite BN-pairs*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 386, Springer, Berlin 1974.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF WARMIA AND MAZURY, ŻOLNIERSKA 14A, 10-561 OLSZTYN, POLAND

*E-mail address:* `pankov@matman.uwm.edu.pl`